



..

.

.

.

.

.

1	:	
1		1.1
4		2.1
5		3.1
5		4.1
6		5.1
7	:	
7		1.2
28		2.2
28		1.2.2
29		2.2.2
30		1.2.2.2
30		2.2.2.2
32		3.2.2.2
32	-	3.2.2
32		1.3.2.2
34		2.3.2.2

34	3.3.2.2	
35	4.3.2.2	
35	5.3.2.2	
36	6.3.2.2	
37	4.2.2	
37	1.4.2.2	
37	2.4.2.2	
44		3.2
44	1.3.2	
48	2.3.2	
52	:	
52		1.3
52		2.3
52		3.3
54		4.3
56		5.3
56		6.3
57		7.3
58	:	
58		1.4
89		2.4
103		3.4
104		
110		

53		1
57		2
59		3
	.	
62		4
	.	
64		5
	.	
66		6
	.	
68		7
	.	
69		8
	.	
71	(One Way ANOVA)	9
	.	
73	(T.test)	10
	.	
74	(One Way ANOVA)	11
	.	
76	(T.test)	12
	.	
77	(One Way ANOVA)	13

79	(T.test)	14
80	(One Way ANOVA)	15
82	(T.test)	16
83	(One Way ANOVA)	17
85	(T.test)	18
86	(One Way ANOVA)	19
88	(T.test)	20

111

116

119

:

2011

.
(666)

:

.1

.

.

.2

)

.(

)

.(

)

.(

)

.

(

Abstract
Attitudes Of Students In The University Of Mutah About Factors Of
Drug Abuse In Jordanian Society:
Field Study

Hamza Abdul-Muttalib Kareem Maaytah.
Mutah University, 2011

This study aimed to identify trends Mutah University students about factors of drug abuse in Jordanian society. To achieve the objectives of the study questionnaire was constructed and distributed to a stratified random sample consisting of 666 (students from the University of Mutah) the study found the following results:

1. The results showed that the overall average trends Mutah University students about factors of drug abuse in Jordanian society came to a fair degree. The trends of the study sample about the psychological effects and health social economic and security was a high degree.
2. The results of the study there were statistically significant differences between the trends of the study sample about the factors leading to drug abuse due to the variables (place of residence family's monthly income family size the school year to the student the type of college). The results showed that there was no differences between the trends members of the study sample about the causes of drug abuse which date back to the variable (gender). The results showed that there were statistically significant differences between the trends of the study sample about the psychological effects and health social economic and security of drug abuse due to the variable (gender type of college family size monthly household income place of residence). The results of the study that there was no statistically significant differences between the trends of the study sample about the psychological effects and social consequences of drug abuse due to the variable (school year for students) and build on the results of the study was to formulate a number of recommendations.

1.1

.(2001)

.(2007)

.(132-128: 2007)

.(2004)

.

.

(2002).

.

: 2.1

.

85

(1994-2010)

361

(2010)

(1994)

2854

.(2010

) 30 -20

.

:

:

:

:

.1

.2

.3

.4

.5

:

)

. (

:

)

.(

:

3.1

:

.1

.

.2

.

4.1

.

.

:

.1

.

.2

.

:

5.1

:

.1

.

.(2005)

:

.2

"

"

. (2003)

:

.3

.(2007)

:

.4

·
:

.(2007)

: 1.2

:

.

.

.(2009)

(Herbert Spencer)

" "

" "

(2004)

(2003).

:

)

.(2007

:

.

.(2004)

:

.

:

(197:2009)

:Affective Component .1

.

:Behavioral Component .2

.

: Cognitive Component .3

.

.

.

: Alvinkgs .

.

:

.(2007).

:

.(user) .1

.2

.(irreversible)

.(exploitation) .3

.	(undermine)	.4
symptom)		.5
	.	(withdrawal
)		.6
		.(2003
	:	

.(2003)

:

.(2007)

:

.(1998) :

.1

.2

.3

.4

5

.6

.7

.8

.9

.10

.1

.2

.3

.4

.5

(2007).

.6

.(2003)

:

.1

.2

.3

.4

.(2009)

:

:

.

.1

.2

.3

.4

.(2007)

:

:

.1

.2

.3

.(2000)

(1973-1969)

.(17:1986)

. (2006)

:(2006)

: .1

.

: .2

.

: .3

.

:

.

() ()

:

. .1

. .2

. .3

: .

) () ()

(1992

:

:

35

:

. .1

. .2

. .3

. .4

. .5

. .6

.7

.(2002) .

:

:

.

.

.

.

.

.

.

.

.

:

.

:

.

:

.(1992)

:

.

()

.(1992) ()

:

.

:

.() .1

.() .2

. .3

. .4

.(1992) . .5

Stimulate

.(2007)

depress

:

(Goode)

:(2007)

:Medical **Use** .1

.

:Legal use .2

.()

:Illegal in strument Use .3

.

.

: Recrsational Illegal Use .4

.(Goode,1984 37-43)

Amytal

Evipan

Pen total

.(2011)

:

- | | |
|---|----|
| . | .1 |
| . | .2 |
| . | .3 |
| . | .4 |
| . | .5 |

.(2002)

) .1

.(

.2

.

.3

.

.4

.

.5

.

.6

.

.7

.

.8

.

.9

.

:(2000)

.1

.

.2

.

.3

.

.4

.

.5

.

:(2001)

.1

.

.2

.

.3

.

.4

.

.5

.

.6

.

.7

.

.8

.

: .9

.
: .10

.
: .11

.
: .12

.
: .13

.

(73 :1988)
() ()
:

: .1

.

.2 :

.

.3 :

.

.4 :

.

.5 :

.

.6 :

.(2007)

:

.1 .

.2

.

.3

.

.4 .

.5

.

.6

.

.7

.

.8

.

.9

.

.(1993)

.10

:

.

.(1997)

:

:

.

:(2001)

.1

.2

.3

(Dependence)

.(59:2002)

:
: (2001)

.1

.2

.3

.4

.5

.

)

.(2002

.(1999)

:

.

.

.

.(2001)

:(1999)

.1

.

.2

.

.3

.

.4

.

.5

.

.6

.

.7

.

:(2002)

.

:(2002)

.1

.2

.3

:(1999)

· (35-20)

· (2002)

.(1999)

:

2.2

.

) :

:

.(

-

-

.(

)

.

:

:(Biological Theories)

1.2.2

.

" "

-0) (%21)

Robinson, 1976, p50-) (%2) (%26) (%9
.(51

"McClearn "

": -

.(Robinson, 1976, p51)

.(Rasmussen, 2000, p31)

: (Psychological Theories)

2.2.2

.(MacGrath & Scarpitti, 1970, p2)

— —
—
:

:(Trait Theory)

1.2.2.2

.(Rasmussen,2000,p32)

:

()
.(Robinson, 1976, p52)

:(Learning Theories)

2.2.2.2

(Stimulus)

(Reflex)

.

Dollard

"

.

"and Miller

" Bandura

"

.(Robinson, 1976, p54-55)

.(2004)

)

(

.(1999)

(2010)

1890 (Gabriel tarde)

:

.

.1

.

.2

.3

.

:(Psychodynamic Theory)

3.2.2.2

:

.1

.

.2

.

Self-)

(Self-Care)

.3

(Preservation

.

(Rasmussen, 2000, p32-33)

:(Sociocultural Theory)

-

3.2

:

:

1.3.2.2

"

"

Rasmussen, 2000,)

.(p34

"Walker "

:

:

.1

.

.

.

.

.

.2

:

.

)

.

.(97 : 2003

: 2.3.2.2

()

.

.(Merton, 1968)

.

.

()

root)

"

"

.

(cause

.(:2007).

.

:(Cloward & Ohlin,1960)

.3.3.2.2

:

. : () .1

: () .2

.

: () .3

.

:

4.3.2.2

(David, 2000)

.

.(2003)

:Life Style Theory

5.3.2.2

(J.Garofalo)

(N.Gottfredson)

(M.J.Hindelang)

. 1978

:

.1

.2

.3

.

.(2001).

.(231:2004)

.(2002)

:

.

.

.

.

:(Anthropological Theory)

6.3.2.2

.(Rasmussen, 2000, p35)

:(Comprehensive Model)

4.2.2

:(Biopsychosocial Model)

1.4.2.2

- -

-

" Sederer "

:

.1

.2

.

Public Health Agent-)

2.4.2.2

:(Host Environment Model

() ()

.

() :

()

.

:

()

—

—

.

:

.

.

.

:

.

:

.

-

-

.

:

.(Rasmussen, 2000, p37-38)

:

:2007)

.(77

.1

.

.2

.

.3

.

.4

.

.(1999)

)

:(1993

.1

.

.2

.

.3

.

.

.4

.

.5

.

.

.

: .1

.

: .2

- -

.

: .3

.(70:2009)

:

.1

.

.2

.

.

.3

.

.4

.

.5

.

.6

.(71:2009) .

1973

:

.1

.2

(X – Ray)

.3

.(47:2009)

(3532)

(631)

(82)

(2891)

2008

(2250)

(532)

(2860)

.(2009)

(78)

()

.

.

:

.

.1

.

.2

.

.3

(2011).

: 3.2

:

: 1.3.2

(2005)

(101)

297

(97)

(99)

.

(2009)

:

: .1

.

.2

.

(2009.)

(20)

.

(1987)

(100)

:

(%40)

.1

. (39-30)

.

.2

:

.

.

.

.

.

.

.

.

.

.

(2010)

:

.1

.

.2

.

($\alpha \leq 0.05$)

.3

.

(2000)

21

47

.

(2006)

:

.

.1

.

.2

.3

.

.

.4

.5

.6

(2005)

(70)

(2000)

(184)

:

.1

.2

.3

.4

(2003)

2.3.2

1998

(%40)

.(2002)

(Kathleen,2005)

(24)

(1988)

(2005)

(66)

(Broman,et al, 2006)

: (4987)

.1

.

.2

.

(Fitzpatrick, et a, 2005l)

(1538)

(18-11)

.

(Fox, et ,al, 2005)

.

Samuel &)

(John,2001

(41)

.

-

-

(Hengon, 2004)

2002-2001

18

18

"

"

21

(%47)

14

21

(%9)

10

(Rashada & Rathshanda,2005)

(50)

(50)

1.3 :

2.3 :

(2011- 2010)
6020 15829
9809 ()
)
(
.2011

3.3 :

)
(

(1) .

." "

(1)

%	
40.5	271
59.5	395
38.7	258
61.3	408
9.6	62 200
15.0	101 300 - 200
31.5	211 400 - 301 " "
43.8	292 401
21.0	140
28.8	192
30.6	204
19.5	130
15.3	102 4
58.0	386 8-5
21.9	146 12-9
4.8	32 13
6.2	41
38.9	259
55.0	366

(1)

(1)

%(59.5) (%40.5)

%(43.8)

301)

400

– 200)

% (15.0)

% (31.5)

(400 –

. 200

% (9.6)

(300

(%38.7)

% (61.3)

%(55.0)

%(38.9)

%(6.2)

: **4.3**

(1987)

(2006)

)

(39)

(

(4)

(20)

(4)

(7)

(4)

)

(X)

(

:

1

2

3

4

5

:

(1.8 1)

.1

(2.6 1.8)

.2

(3.4 2.6)

.3

(4.2 3.4)

.4

(4.2)

.5

:

1)

.1

(2.33

.

2.34)

.2

(3.67

.

(3.68

)

.3

.

:

5.3

(8)

.

(30)

.

.

: 6.3

(Cronbach Alpha)

: (2) .
(2)

()
0 . 872
. . 648
. .765
. . 815
. . 881
. .075
. .892

| (2) |
| . .892 |
| . . 881 _ . . 648 |

: 7.3

()
()

(T-Test)

.

.

:

4.1

:

:

(3)

18	1.03	2.751	1
19	1.29	2.138	2
14	1.21	2.910	3
20	1.23	2.027	4
17	1.36	2.817	5
11	1.09	3.099	6
6	1.11	3.823	7
7	1.16	3.611	8
2	1.18	4.060	9
15	1.00	2.904	10
5	1.11	3.859	11
12	1.12	3.078	12

13	1.30	2.928	13
8	0.98	3.544	14
16	1.21	2.825	15
9	0.98	3.334	16
10	1.11	3.129	17
3	1.18	4.039	18
4	1.17	3.904	19
1	1.22	4.072	20
-	0.77	3.242	-

: (3)

.(0.77)

3.24

(2.02)

(20)

(4.07)

.(4)

(1.30)

(0.98) (13)

.(14)

.3.4

(8)

(20)

(13)

.(3)

"

" "

" "

" "

" "

" " .

" "

“ ”

.

:

:

.1

:

(4)

(4)

3	1.23	3.964	1
2	1.00	4.021	2
1	1.21	4.24	3
4	1.25	3.861	4
-	0.76	4.022	-

: (4)

4.02

.(0.76)

(3.86)

(3)

(4.24)

.(4)

(1.21)

(1.00)

(3)

.(2)

.3.4

.(3)

"

" " .

" "

" "

.

.2

:

(5)

(5)

1	0.98	4.429	1
2	0.99	4.428	2
4	1.0064	4.291	3
3	0.93	4.417	4
-	0.83	4.39	-

: (5)

4.39

.(0.83)

(4.29) (1)

(4.429)
.(3)

(1.00)

(0.93)

(3)

.(4)

.3.4

.(3)

"

" " .

" " .

"

" "

.3

:

(6)

(6)

1	0.88	4.477		1
2	0.95	4.387		2
3	0.98	4.273		3
4	1.05	4.093		4
-	0.88	4.30		-

: (6)

4.30

.(0.88)

(4.09)

(1)

(4.477)

.(4)

(1.05)

(0.88)

(4)

.(1)

.3.4

.(3)

"

" " .

" "

" "

"

.

.4

:

(7)

(7)

2	0.73	4.2102	1
1	0.95	4.2733	2
3	1.01	4.0482	3
-	0.91	4.17	-

: (7)

4.17

.(0.91)

(4.04)

(2)

(4.27)

.(3)

(1.01)

(0.73)

(3)

.(1)

.3.4

.(3)

"

"

"

.

"

"

"

.

.5

:

(8)

(8)

4	0.96	4.204	.	1
3	0.92	4.252		2
2	0.93	4.363		3
1	1.00	4.429		4

$$\frac{-0.79 \quad 4.312}{: \quad (8)}$$

4.312

.(0.79)

(4.20)

(4)

(4.429)

.(1)

(1.00)

(0.92)

(4)

.(2)

.3.4

.(3)

"

" "

" "

"

" "

.

:
)
 . () :
 (: (9)

(9)
(One Way ANOVA)

* F						
0.000	*13.76	6.72	2	13.451	2.701	
		0.488	663	323.834	3.316	
			665	337.284	3.250	
		2.97	3	8.922	2.888	200
0.000	*5.996	0.499	662	328.362	3.321	300 - 200
			665	337.284	3.289	400 - 301
				3.256		"
				401		"
0.000	*12.11	5.848	3	17.543	2.945	
		0.483	662	319.742	3.350	
			665	337.284	3.248	
				3.393		
0.032	*2.955	1.486	3	4.457	3.060	4
		0.503	662	332.828	3.265	8-5
			665	337.284	3.274	12-9
				3.393		13

.(0.05 ≥ α)

*

: (9)

.1

()

13.76

()

.0.05

.0.05

0.45

.2

)

5.996

()

(

.0.05

.3

)

12.11

()

(

.0.05

0.445

.0.05

.4

()

2.955

()

.0.05

0.333

4

.0.05

(
(T.test)

:
(10)

(10)

(T.test)

.

T			
0.000	*4.017	0.71	3.3746
		0.69	3.1508
0.109	1.604	0.86	3.1868
		0.59	3.2775

(0.05 ≥ α)

*

(10)

0.05

4.017

()

4.37

.3.15

(10)

1.604 ()

.0.05

:

:

.1

)

. (

)

:

(

:

(11)

(11)

(One Way ANOVA)

* F						
0.000	13.29*	9.44	2	18.892	3.402	
		0.711	663	471.224	4.132	
			665	490.116	4.017	
		5.502	3	16.507	3.556	200
0.000	7.691*	0.715	662	473.608	4.158	300 - 200
			665	490.116	4.097	400 - 301
					4.024	"
					401	"
0.11	1.956	1.435	3	4.306	3.871	
		0.734	662	485.810	4.085	
			665	490.116	4.061	
					4.038	
0.002	4.401	3.195	3	9.584	3.754	4

*	0.726	662	480.531	4.094	8-5
		665	490.116	4.006	12-9
				4.109	13

*(0.05 ≥ α)

(11)

.1

()
.0.05 13.29 ()

0.43
.0.05

.2

()
7.691 ()
.0.05

300 200
400 -301

.0.05 0.54

.3

()
1.95 ()

.0.05

.4

()
.0.05 4.401 ()

4 13
 .0.05 0.355
 () :
 (T.test)
 : (12)
 (12)
 (T.test)

T			
0.033	*2.138	0.70	4.1093
		0.94	3.9645
0.000	*5.933	1.03	3.7820
		0.688	4.1771
(0.05 ≥ α)			
*			
(12)			

0.05 2.138 ()
 4.109
 .3.964
 5.933 ()

.0.05

4.177

.3.782

.2

)

. (

)

:

(

:

(13)

(13)

(One Way ANOVA)

* F								
0.000	19.49 *	12.815	2	25.630	3.6707			
		0.657	663	435.837	4.3610			
			665	461.466	4.3415			
		9.34	3	28.021	3.766	200		
0.000	14.26 *	0.655	662	433.445	4.472	300 - 200		
			665	461.466	4.510	400 - 301		
					4.411			"
						401		"
0.000	7.79 *	5.249	3	15.748	4.114			
		0.673	662	445.718	4.536			
			665	461.466	4.458			
					4.373			
0.000	11.37 *	7.54	3	22.623	3.980	4		
		0.663	662	438.843	4.497	8-5		
			665	461.466	4.363	12-9		

$.(0.05 \geq \alpha)$

*

$:$ (13)

.5

()

19.49 ()

.0.05

0.84

.0.05

.6

()

.0.05

14.26 ()

300 200

0.71

200

.0.05

.7

()

.0.05

7.79 ()

.0.05

0.422

.8

()

11.37 ()

.0.05

4

8 -5

0.517

.0.05

() :

(T.test)

: (14)

(14)

(T.test)

T					
0.005	*2.835	0.657	4.5019		
		0.9298	4.3160		
0.000	*6.401	1.05	4.1395		
		0.6089	4.5515		
		(0.05 ≥ α)			*

(14)

0.05 2.835 ()

4.501

.4.316

6.401

()

.0.05

.4.139

4.139

.9

)

. (

)

:

. (

:

(15)

(15)

(One Way ANOVA)

*

F

0.000

14.26
*

8.267

2

17.790

3.670

663

413.360

4.361

0.623

665

431.149

4.341

6.298

3

18.895

3.782

200

662

412.254

4.366

0.623

300 - 200

0.000

10.11
*

665

431.149

4.355

400 - 301

„

4.364

„

401

		1.601	3	4.802	4.146	
			662	426.347	4.367	
		0.644				
0.066	2.485		665	431.149	4.355	
					4.319	
		3.154	3	9.463	4.039	4
			662	421.687	4.365	
		0.637				8-5
0.002	*4.95		665	431.149	4.308	12-9
					4.468	13
.(0.05 ≥ α)						*

0.584 200
.0.05
.3
()
2.485 ()
.0.05
.4
()
.0.05 4.95 ()
4 13
.0.05 0.429
. () :
(T.test)
: (16)
(16)
(T.test)

T			
0.004	*2.911	0.5951	4.4167
		0.9169	4.2322
0.000	*5.943	1.01	4.0804
		0.5909	4.4516
(0.05 ≥ α)			
*			

0.05 2.911 ()

4.416

.4.232

5.943 ()

.0.05

4.4516

.4.0804

.10

)

. (

)

:

.(

: (17)

(17)

(One Way ANOVA)

*	F				
		8.134	2	16.269	3.585
0.000	*10.07	0.808	663	535.477	4.262
			665	551.745	4.184

		4.929	3	14.788	3.817	200	
		0.811	662	536.957	4.399	300 - 200	
0.000	*6.077		665	551.745	4.248	400 - 301	
					4.127	401	"
							"
		3.681	3	11.043	4.028		
0.005	*4.507	0.817	662	540.702	4.347		
			665	551.745	4.205		
					4.046		
		3.975	3	11.926	3.875	4	
0.002	*4.87	0.815	662	539.819	4.247	8-5	
			665	551.745	4.173	12-9	
					4.333	13	
<hr/>							
.(0.05 ≥ α)							*

: (17)

.1

()
.0.05 10.07 ()

0.677

.0.05

.2

)

6.507 () ()
.0.05

		300	200	
0.582		200		
		.0.05		
				.3
)				
	2.485	()	(
			.0.05	
.0.05			0.319	
				.4
()				
.0.05		4.87	()	
		13		
	0.458		4	
			.0.05	
()		:
(T.test)				
		:	(18)	
	(18)			
		(T.test)		
<hr/>				
T				
<hr/>				

0.043	*2.027	0.805	4.2642
		0.975	4.1184
0.000	*4.151	1.07	3.9961
		0.766	4.2933
(0.05 ≥ α)			
*			

0.052.027()

4.264

.4.11

4.151()

.0.05

.3.9964.293

.11

)

. (

) :

.(

: (19)

(19)

(One Way ANOVA)

*	F
---	---

		13.898	2	27.792	3.542			
0.000	23.19 *	0.599	663	397.247	4.428			
			665	425.039	4.316			
		10.397	3	31.190	3.637	200		
		0.595	662	393.849	4.393	300 - 200		
0.000	17.47 *		665	425.039	4.382	400 - 301		
					4.376	401	"	"
		2.233	3	6.700	4.178			
0.015	3.534 *	0.632	662	418.339	4.421			
			665	425.039	4.365			
					4.211			
		3.202	3	9.607	4.053	4		
		0.628	662	415.432	4.357	8-5		
0.002	*5.10		665	425.039	4.318	12-9		
					4.562	13		

.(0.05 ≥ α)

•

: (19)

.1

()

23.19 ()

.0.05

0.882

.0.05

.2

()

17.534 ()

.0.05
 300 200
 0.756 200
 .0.05
 .4
 ()
 .0.05 3.534 ()
 .0.05 0.243
 .5
 ()
 5.10 ()
 .0.05
 13
 0.509 4
 .0.05
 () :
 (T.test)
 : (20)
 (20)
 (T.test)

T			
0.006	*2.760	0.6525	4.4148
		0.8818	4.2411
0.000	*4.890	0.9779	4.1250
		0.6357	4.4308
(0.05 ≥ α)			*

0.05 2.760 ()

4.4148

.4.2411

4.89 ()

.0.05

4.4308

.4.1250

: 2.4

3.24

.(0.77)

.

(2005)

(Fitzpatrick,et al, .(2010) (1987)
(Broman,et al, 2006)
(Kathleenm2005) 2005)

()

.

.

1890 (Gabriel tarde)

:

.

.1

.

.2

.3

—

—

—

.

:

:

4.02

.(0.76)

4.39

.(0.83)

4.30

.(0.88)

4.17

.(0.91)

4.312

.(0.79)

(2009) Samuel &) (2002) (2009.)
(Hengon,2004) (John,2001
(Rashada & Rathshanda,2005)

.4

13.76 () ()

.0.05

.0.05

0.45

.5

)

5.996 () (

.0.05

300 200

0.433

200

.0.05

.6

)

12.11 () (

.0.05

.0.05

0.445

.7

()

2.955 ()

13

.0.05

4

.0.05

0.333

.8

()

0.05

4.017

()

4.37

.3.15

.9

()

0.05

1.604

()

) () () ()

.() (

)

.(

.10

()

13.29

()

.0.05

0.43

.0.05

.11

()

7.691

()

.0.05
300 200
400 -301
0.54
.0.05
.12
)
1.95 () (
.0.05
.13
()
4.401 ()
.0.05
4 13
.0.05 0.355
.14
()
0.05 2.138
4.109
.3.964
.15
.0.05 5.933 ()
4.177
.3.782

)	
			.(
			.()
				.16
()			
.0.05		19.49	()	
		0.84		
				.0.05
				.17
()			
.0.05		14.26	()	
200				
200				300
		0.71		
				.0.05
				.18
()			
.0.05		7.79	()	
0.422				
		.0.05		

.19

()

11.37 ()

.0.05

4

8 -5

.0.05

0.517

.20

()

0.05

2.835

()

4.501

.4.316

.21

()

.0.05

6.401

()

.4.139

4.139

)(

)(

)()

.() () ()

.22

()

0.05

14.26

()

0.69

0.05

.23

)

10.11 () (

.0.05

300 200

0.584 200

0.05

.24

)

2.485 () (

.0.05

.25

()

.0.05 4.95 ()

13

4

0.05 0.429

.26

()

0.05

2.911

()

4.416

.4.232

.27

)

.0.05

5.943

()

4.4516

.4.0804

() () () ()

.()

.()

28

()

0.05

10.07

()

0.677

0.05

.29

)

6.507 () (

.0.05

300 200

0.582 200

0.05

.30

)

2.485 () (

.0.05

0.319

0.05

.31

()

.0.05 4.87 ()

13

4

0.05 0.458

.32

()

0.05 2.027 ()

4.264

4.11

4.151 ()

.0.05

4.293

.3.996

() () () ()

.()

.33

()

23.19

()

0.05

0.882

.0.05

()
 17.534 ()
 200 0.05
 200 300
 0.756
 0.05
 .36
 ()
 0.05 3.534 ()
 0.243
 0.05
 .37
 ()
 5.10 ()
 0.05
 4 13
 .0.05 0.509

.38

()

0.05

2.760

()

4.4148

4.2411

.39

()

4.89

()

.0.05

4.4308

.4.1250

) () () () ()

.(

0.05

1.604

()

()

.0.05

1.95

()

()

.0.05

2.485

()

) (1987)
 (2010) (2006) (2000
 (Broman,et al, 2006;) (2005)
 (Kathleen,2005) (Fitzpatrick, et al, 2005)
 (2002) (2009.) (2009)
 (Hengon,2004) (Samuel & John,2001)
 .(Rashada& Rathshanda,2005)
 .(Fitzpatrick, et al, 2005) (Fox,et al, 2005)

: 3.4

:

.1

.2

.3

∴

.

.(2004)

67

(1999)

(2004)

(2001)

(2003)

(2007)

21-13

.(2007)

(2002)

(2007)

(2003)

(2000)

(2009)

(1997)

(3)

1

(1999)

(2006)

أكاديمية نايف العربية للعلوم

الأمنية، الرياض، السعودية.

(2009)

(2006)

1

(2009)

71 -32

(2009)

(2000)

(2009)

104

(2005)

131

(2000)

(2006)

1

(1987)

(2011)

.(2010)

(2007)

1

(2004)

(2011)

(2010)

(2002).

97 -133 1 30

(1992)

4 8

(2003)

12-23-2009

(2009)

07:2 AM

<http://www.alrai.com/img/254000/254159.jpg>

(2001)

(2003)

(2001)

-

1 242-241

(2002)

(2000)

(1992)

(1993)

(1998)

(2003)

(2005)

(1988).

(2007)

(1999)

(2001)

1

(2004)

<http://islamtoday.net/nawafeth/artshow-95-7557.htm>

(1986)

(2010)

(2006)

ب.المراجع الانجليزية :

- Broman,C.L ; Reckase, m.d.andFreedman, doan,C.R(2006)**The Role Of Parenting In Durg Use Among Black. Lation and White dolescents.** Journal Of Ethnicity In Substance Abuse. 40- 50,page.
- Cloward,RA,Ohlin L.E(1960).On Line Cheats Leave Asian Students Facing paper exam .New statesman, vol.131,Issue 4607,p.711-745.
- David ,m(2000).Delinquency And Drift ,Inc ,New York ,pp.28-29 .
- Goode, E, (1984) .**Drugs In American Society**,new York .
- Hengon Et Al,(2004). **The Relation Of Personality To Al Cohol Abus Depend Once In Highh – Risk Sample** .Vol 68 ,Issue 6,pils3,page23.
- Merton,K.R(1968).**Social Theory and Social Structure**. New York, The Free Press,3 Edition.
- MacGrath, J. ,and Scarpitti, f.,(1970), **Youth and Drugs: Perspectives on a Social Problem Illinois**: Scott Foresman and Company
- Rasmussen, s., (2000), **Addiction Treatment: Theory and Practice**, London: Sage Publication, INC.
- Robinson, D,. (1976), **From Drinking To Alcoholism: A Sociological Commentary**, London New York: john Wiley and sons.
- Rashada & Rathshanda (2005). **Locus OF Control and Personality Traits OF Made Substance Abusers And Non Abusers**, Journal Of Physiology ,Vol 2,no.page 41-44 .
- Kathleen B ,(2005)**Resourca For Dropout From Drug Abuse Treatment Symptoms Personality And Motivation ,Addictiv behaviors**.VOL.31 ISSUE.1.
- Samuel ,B.J. John(2001).**Addicted Patients With Personality Disorder; Traits, Schemas And Presentation Problem** . Journal OF Personality Disorder,Vol.15,Issue.1..page72-83 .
- Fitzpatrick ,K M. ;Piko .B,F. ;Wright, D.R.; Lagory , M.(2005). **Depressive Symptomatology ,Exposure to Violence, And the Role**

Of Social Capital among African American Adolescents American Journal Of Orthopsychiatry.page262-274.

Fox H.C.;Talib. M.;Malison.R.;Anderson, G.M.;Kreek,M.;Sinha,R.(2005)
Frequency Of Recent Cocaine And Alcohol Use Affects Drug Craving And Associated Responses to Stress and Drug-Related Cues. Psychoneuroendocrinology.Page,880-891

"

"

"

"

(X)

/

.

.

. :

: (X)

.2

.1 :

.2

.1 :

:

:

:

300

200

. 200

401

400 300

8-5

4 :

13

12 - 9

: (X)

						#
						1
						2
						3
						4
						5
						6
						7
						8
						9
						10
						11

						12
						13
						14
						15
						16
						17
						18
						19
						20

: (X)

						#
						21
						22
						23
						24

: (X)

						#
						25
						26
						27
						28

: (X)

						#
						29
						30
						31
						32

: (X)

						#
						33
						34
						35

: (X)

						#
						36
						37
						38
						39

()

MUTAH UNIVERSITY
Faculty of Social Sciences



جامعة مؤتة
كلية العلوم الاجتماعية

Ref:.....

Date:.....

الرقم: ١٠٧ / ١٥٤

التاريخ: ٢٠١١ / ٤ / ٣٨

الأستاذ الدكتور عميد كلية العلوم الاجتماعية المحترم

تحية طيبة وبعد،،

أرجو العلم بأن الطالب حمزة عبد المطلب المعاينة قد أنهى متطلبات برنامج الدراسات العليا ماجستير علم الاجتماع تخصص علم الجريمة وهو يستعد لإعداد رسالته الموسومة بـ " اتجاهات طلبة جامعة مؤتة نحو أسباب ونتائج ظاهرة تعاطي المخدرات: دراسة ميدانية على طلبة جامعة مؤتة"، أرجو التكرم بمخاطبة من يلزم في وحدة القبول والتسجيل في جامعة مؤتة لتسهيل مهمة الطالب في الحصول على البيانات الإحصائية اللازمة لدراسته.

واقبلوا فائق الاحترام والتقدير،،،

رئيس قسم علم الاجتماع

د. رافع الخريشا

الدكتور مدير وحدة القبول والتسجيل المحترم
أرجو التكرم بتسهيل مهمة الطالب حمزة المعاينة
مع فائق الاحترام والتقدير

د. رافع الخريشا

٢٠١١ / ٤ / ٣٨

ما
عنوان الرسالة بعد إجراء المناقشة لـ
لجنة جامعة مؤتة نحو عوامل تعاطي المخدرات في المجتمع الأردني 2011



2011/2010

#		
1	1260	
2	1910	
3	2351	
4	764	
5	496	
6	2401	
7	507	
8	845	
9	435	
10	817	
11	1215	
12	2828	
	15829	

"2011

()

:

		. .	.1
		. .	.2
		. .	.3
		.	.4
		.	.5
		.	.6
		.	.7
		.	.8

• •

.

•

•

•

:

•

/

•

•

0796422709::

hamzeh_99@yahoo.com: